Exhibit C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION - SANTA ANA

) CASE NO: 8:18-CV-01644-VAP-KESX
IN RE:

) CIVIL
)
EAGAN AVENATTI, LLP.) Santa Ana, California
)
Wednesday, November 28, 2018
(10:01 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.)

HEARING RE: MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KAREN E. SCOTT, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: SCOTT H. SIMS, ESQ.

Frank Sims & Stolper

19800 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 855

Irvine, CA 92612

For Defendant: MICHAEL AVENATTI, ESQ. (via phone)

Court Reporter: Recorded; CourtSmart

Courtroom Deputy: Jazmin Dorado

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

again here I believe that the judgment creditor has given us information that the IOLTA accounts may be being used to facility financial transactions that do involve assets of the judgment debtor, and so understanding the other financial records that may be produced, that this may provide a missing piece of the picture. And even if there's not -- you know this is not necessarily an allegation of any wrongdoing as to the use of the IOLTA account, but just in order to understand and be able to follow the trails of funds as they are being transferred between certain accounts without kind of being like a bloodhound coming up at the end of the stream and losing the trail, that that's a sufficient relevancy to be able to investigate and conduct discovery into those particular accounts. With regard to the other privileges that have been asserted, the Court is persuaded by the briefing that was in the opposition to the motion for protective order that established that the Federal privilege law would apply here. This is ultimately a proceeding to enforce the judgment that was entered in Federal Court in a bankruptcy proceeding that 21 was in Federal Court because of the exclusive jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court over bankruptcy matters. And so the Court 23 would apply Federal privilege law in deciding whether certain matters were privileged and therefore excluded from the scope of what was discoverable. Under Federal privilege law, there's

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join Hudson

November 30, 2018

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER